STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING 9:00 a.m., Friday, September 20, 2019

State Transportation Board Meeting
City of Maricopa Council Chambers
39700 West Civic Center Plaza
Maricopa, Arizona 85138

Call to Order

Chairman Sellers called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

Pledge of Allegiance was led by Board Member Stratton.

Roll Call by Board Secretary

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. **In attendance:** Chairman Sellers, Vice Chairman Hammond, Board Member Stratton, Board Member Thompson, Board Member Elters and Board Member Knight. There were approximately 50 members of the public in the audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

ADOT Executive Officer, Floyd Roehrich, Jr. reminded all attendees to please fill out the optional survey cards to assist our Civil Rights Department.

Call to the Audience

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. Twelve members of the public addressed the Board.

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

City of Maricopa Council Chambers 39700 West Civic Center Plaza Maricopa, Arizona 85138

> September 20, 2019 9:00 a.m.

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Certified Copy)

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE	
2	SPEAKER:	PAGE:
3	Mayor Price	5
4	Anthony Smith	6
5	Randy Heiss	9
6	Paul David	11
7	Peggy Judd	12
8	Paul Ward	14
9	Steve Sanders	15
10	Kee Allen Begay, Junior	16
11	Mike Humphrey	18
12	Rich Vitello	21
13	Linda Sullivan	23
14	Jerry Williams	29
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	AGENDA ITEMS	
2	Item 1 - Director's Report, Floyd Roehrich, Junior 2	5
3	Item 2 - District Engineer's Report, Randy Everett, Central District Senior Administrator	5
4 5	Item 3 - Consent Agenda 3	0
6	Item 4 - Financial Report, Floyd Roehrich, Junior 3	2
7	Item 5 - Multimodal Planning Division Report, Greg Byres, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division 3	4
8	Item 6 - Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), Greg Byres	6
9	Item 7 - State Engineer's Report, Dallas Hammit4	8
11	Item 8 - Construction Projects, Dallas Hammit5	0
12	Item 9 - Suggestions 5	8
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
]		

(Beginning of excerpt.)

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All right. We'll move on to call to the audience, and Mayor Price, would you like to welcome the group this morning?

MAYOR PRICE: Thank you all very much. We appreciate it. Again --

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: And by the way, you used up your three minutes last time.

MAYOR PRICE: I know, right? You just keep giving me more time. That's your first mistake, obviously.

Well, I appreciate this. I wish you would come and do this in Maricopa more often. It makes my drive a lot easier, you know, instead of following you all over the state, but no, it's a wonderful opportunity. We're so thankful you were able to come last night, and as -- you've heard me say this three times, but for the audience that haven't, you know, you were there this morning as we broke ground on the La Quinta, which is a -- the first hotel in the city of Maricopa, and while this is a really historical and monumental time for us, it's really just the snowballing effect. And, you know, again, preaching to the choir for most people in this audience, but you know, great transportation infrastructure projects lead to phenomenal economic development, and that's exactly what we're seeing.

We want to thank you immensely for your

investment in the 347 overpass. That was a 15-year project in the works, and if it hadn't been for partnerships with Ak-Chin and Pinal County and UPRR and ADOT and everyone else involved, then we simply wouldn't see that today.

And so as we're trying to work towards the next step, which is, you know, fixing all the challenges that come with the scoping study on the 347 and everything else, we know that it's going to take more relationships. It's going to take, you know, even harder things to overcome when dealing with Gila River and, you know, federal government and other things, especially with the lack of resources that are out there. So it's something that we're working very diligently on.

We thank you again for being here, and we certainly hope that you felt very welcomed and that the red carpet's been rolled out for you. So you're welcome here in the city anytime. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you, Mayor.

And I will remind everyone that there is a three minute time limit. So please respect the time.

Next up we have County Supervisor Anthony Smith, who, by the way, was our tour guide yesterday.

MR. SMITH: That's (inaudible). Have many talents, I guess.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman and board members, and we really appreciate the opportunity to speak with you this

morning. I also appreciate that you're here in the city of
Maricopa in western Pinal. This is also my home district for
District Four of the County Supervisor Association.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm -- have a dual role. I'm also chair of the I -- Pinal County I-11 Coalition, which is a combination of a number of member communities in Pinal County, including Native American communities.

This morning I want to bring to your attention the -- my support for the green alternative, which is your ADOT recommended alternative for the I-11 path as it goes from Nogales, up to Wickenburg, and on into Nevada. The board on -in front of you this morning is actually an older study that this has been studied for some sometime. This is in Hidden Valley Hassayampa Framework Study, and the area in the circle is basically the same route that those who were able to make the tour yesterday traveled on that path, but that has a little bit of history. The same path that was decided by the Maricopa Association of Governments back in 2006 until 2009, which was studied during that period, they came up with the same conclusion that you have in the ADOT recommended alternative that there -- you have decided upon. So after years and years of study, you've come to the same conclusion that this path that goes from the Hidden Valley area, down south of the Ak-Chin, and south of the city of Maricopa, is the best for improving transportation and also bringing a high quality of economic

1 development and jobs to this area. 2 In addition to this particular area, there's also 3 a number of mega projects that Pinal County has been fortunate 4 to land and we're in the process of developing. The Lucid 5 electric car manufacturing, Nikola project. All of these are 6 depending on about 25 percent of their workforce from the local 7 city of Maricopa work -- workers. 8 In order to ease the travel, get traffic off of 9 347, there's a much easier route that eventually will be able to 10 be taken if this recommended alternative is adopted as the 11 alternative going toward. In addition to that, like I said, it 12 promotes local development and economic development, creating 13 additional jobs, which also, again, takes pressure off of 347 14 and adds to the local economy. 15 The trucking industry currently has a sign --16 there's a sign out at I-8 and I-10 that says the -- this is a 17 trucking -- truck route that goes along I-8 and up 85. 18 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman (inaudible). 19 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much. I'll let 20 it be in my conclusion. Thank you. You got to be fair. 21 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 22 And Tony, I started yesterday morning at a county 23 supervisor board meeting in Phoenix before we came here, so...

25 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All right. Next up we have

MR. SMITH: Yes. Yes.

24

Randy Heiss, Executive Director of SEAGO. He's going to talk
about the Rural Transportation Summit, where our next board
meeting will be held.

MR. HEISS: Good morning, Chairman Sellers, members of the board, ADOT staff.

Certainly was impressed. I don't get north of Tucson very often, but I was impressed by two things on the drive north of Tucson up to Casa Grande. The first thing that impressed me was that I didn't see a single DPS officer. I usually count 15 to 20 of them between Tucson, and I thought that is wonderful. And then -- and the next thing that I was impressed with was, of course, the improvements that have been -- that extra lane just makes all the difference in the world. So I was pretty amazed. Something that a lot of people will get to enjoy that are coming from the northern part of the state, down to Tucson for the Rural Transportation Summit, which as you know, will be October 16th through 18th at the Casino Del Sol.

We have a great program together for you. We're kind of focusing on trade. The theme of our summit this year is "Rural Transportation Driven By Trade." We have a number of great speakers, including Director Halikowski, who's going to present the Bi-National Corridor Study, along with Juan Ciscomani. That will be our opening general session.

Our lunchtime general session will feature transportation chairs from the Senate and the House, Noel

- Campbell, and the other one escapes my mind. Livingston, David
 Livingston, will be out there talking about what's next in the
 next legislative session.
- And then our closing general session will be

 Glenn Hamer, who is -- who is going to talk about trade tariffs

 and the USMCA and why that's so important to Arizona.
- So I think we've got a really exciting program.

 There are a number of trade breakout sessions, a lot of

 technical sessions. I think it's well going to be worth your

 time.

- Record-setting number of legislators attending this year. At last count was somewhere around 15. The sponsorships are also record setting this year. Close to 45,000. Amazing work. Thanks to Chris Bridges from Central Yavapai MPO, who has really picked up and helped us, and Vinnie Gallegos, who really picked up and helped us get the legislators there. Certainly appreciate it.
- And then registrations are about 290. I should get a report -- we know we're going to hit more than 300 this year. So it's just been a really great experience in that regard.
- And let's see here. The last thing is I have two rooms left at Casino Del Sol for VIPs only. So first come, first serve.
- Thank you for your time. Look forward to seeing

1 you next month. 2 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 3 Next up we have Paul David, Graham County 4 Supervisor, and on deck we'll have Peggy Judd, Cochise County 5 Supervisor. 6 Is Paul David here? 7 MR. DAVID: Yes. (Inaudible.) 8 I'll share the podium. 9 Chairman Sellers and members of the ADOT State 10 Transportation Board, my name is Paul David. I'm a Graham 11 County supervisor in District One. I retired from ADOT in order 12 to run for supervisor and am enjoying my first term. 13 I'm here primarily to thank you very much for two 14 projects which are on the consent agenda. One is a local 15 project. It's a roundabout in the -- it's just north of Safford 16 by about a mile, and as our Safford -- (inaudible) Safford mine 17 opens and as our area grows, this is critical infrastructure, 18 and it's a \$2 million project. We thank you very, very much. 19 And the other is that there's an ADOT project, 20 drainage project that's just south of town by about seven miles. 21 This is an area that is -- during the monsoons and heavy rains 22 in the mountains, the highways actually close because of --23 we've had problems with the hydroplaning, and we've had to close 24 the highway during some of those seasonal flows because of

overtopping. So thank you for that project, and everything from

25

evacuation routes to just regional transport. Thank you.

And then finally, just an advisory. The comment period ends October 4th, and I'm sure that ADOT as staff are well aware, but the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality is proposing to take over the Army Corps of Engineer permitting for 404s. The fee schedules. I know historically the -- ADOT has had a liaison in the office of the Army Corps they have actually paid to help expedite the work, but the businesses throughout the state have advocated, and now I'm afraid they're going to get more than they -- than they wanted. They wanted expedited service. ADEQ is now going to charge per permit anywhere from 7 to \$50,000 per permit for the jurisdictional delineation, and then site inspections will be additional. And so this has the potential to really impact our local governments, local businesses, and of course, the highway and State Transportation schedule.

So anyway, thank you very very much. I wish you well, and appreciate the time I had with ADOT. Very enjoyable, and bless you and your work.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Peggy Judd, Cochise County Supervisor. On deck is Paul Ward.

MS. JUDD: Chairman Sellers, members of the Board, it's my pleasure to be here with you today.

I want to start out thanking you for a smaller

project that -- and it is one that's completed along the highway in the Pearce, Sun Sites, Arizona, and there's a lot of thank yous, heart felt appreciation coming from them. It was a particularly rough stretch of highway. It is kind of their access to their main street and their community businesses.

The second part of that thank you is that you did it very quickly and without any disruption to their business or their way of life there, and I'm hearing that over and over.

Small community, and when you hear so many people appreciate it, that's a big bunch of appreciation. So thank -- thank you.

I also wanted to remind you of the progress we're making with the commercial port of entry that will be located to the west of Douglas, Arizona. The County and the City of working together, and it's going to be a big -- we're going to do transportation out of there.

ADOT to remind them that they will need a double lane highway to access I-10 somewhere, and we're kind of hoping in our district that it would be 191. So just 191, Double A Highway, (inaudible). We'd really appreciate that. This -- and this is something I've heard predictions could happen as close as five years. So I like to be optimistic, and my thoughts are five years is long enough to wait, but it depends on how the federal government and other funding sources come together.

And the last thing is I newly acquired the

```
1
     chairmanmanship to our Healthy Communities Committee in Willcox,
 2
     and I'm working closely with the director. It is run through
 3
     the University of Arizona, and it seems at least in our
 4
     committee that the needs in our community stem mostly from
 5
     multi-use paths, sidewalks, things like that. And we know in
 6
     your project years ago, crossing I-10 on Exit 340, there's a
 7
     really nice path that goes across and extends out in the
 8
     community both directions, and I'd like to reach out and say in
 9
     our changing times where people, for number one, need to
10
     exercise and be out more, number two, many of them don't have
     transportation besides bicycles and walking, even in some of our
11
12
     smaller communities, to always consider that multi-use type
13
     structure along with your highway improvements, including, as my
     constituent and friend, Cara Harris, has brought to your
14
15
     attention many times. Shoulder -- consider when you're
16
     revamping your highways, the shoulders, that they need to be
17
     wide enough and clear.
18
                    So thank you very much.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
20
                    Okay. Paul Ward, Executive Director of Yuma MPO,
21
     and on deck we have Steve Sanders.
22
                    And I -- you know, I don't want people to
23
     think -- start thinking that I'm biased towards county
24
     supervisors, allowing them more time than others.
```

MR. WARD:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of

25

the State Transportation Board.

Something very brief today, which will probably set you back a bit, but from that point of view, I'd like to pass on my thanks to Director Halikowski and Floyd, Mona Glanswick and Larry Tally, and the Highway Safety Improvement Program staff at ADOT.

We're wrapping up -- or I say "we're wrapping up" -- they are wrapping up the applications for Highway Safety Improvement Program Funds for 2023 and '24, and I'd like to thank them for their attention to detail for considering the projects that we've submitted from the Yuma metropolitan region.

By the looks of it, we've been successful in getting eight out of the nine projects that we've submitted, which arguably is a compliment to the staff that put all that stuff together. But nevertheless, without with ADOT staff already put this particular program set on, would not have occurred.

That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Steve Sanders, Public Works Director for Gila County, and on deck we have Kee Allen Begay, Junior.

MR. SANDERS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. I'm just here to thank you for your commitment to the Lion Springs section of 260, and ADOT staff. That's very much appreciated by Gila County. It's -- we really look forward

it. Thank you for your commitment to it.

Looking forward to the upcoming projects on

Highway 60 between Superior and Miami. Will be a big

improvement. In the Pinto Creek Bridge project, I just want to

let ADOT know that the POI department has been excellent on

that. There's no reason that anyone in the area should not know

what's going on. I mean, the community outreach has just -- has

been great.

Again, thanks for your commitment to Lion Springs/260. It's very much appreciated. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Kee Allen Begay, Junior, and on deck is Mike Humphrey.

MR. BEGAY: Good morning to board members. My name is Kee Allen Begay, Junior, with the Navajo Nation. I am the tribal council member for the Chinle -- the central agency of the Navajo Nation.

I just wanted to say thank you for the Arizona Department of Transportation in helping and continuing to have improvement on the right-of-ways on the Navajo Nation. And I know they have been submitting some application for the bridges, which we were -- they were awarded. I appreciate that. And I know there's another application that's submitted for the 191, and we're helping and continue to ask for your support.

But further, I just want to continue to ask the

Board to -- the policy on the right-of-way issue. You have the main road. You have each of the fencing. Within that, I believe that the ADOT has overall right-of-way, but to my understanding, some of these exit roads on -- specifically on the Navajo Nation, that it is the responsibility of the committees. So we're talking about thousands of dollars for individuals to maintain those particular exit roads.

So I guess my question -- I also sit on the Navajo Nation Resource Development Committee. We're the oversight for the Navajo Department of Transportation. So in working with all the other states, meaning Utah and New Mexico, we continue to ask how we can improve and cooperate and collaborate in working together in improving a lot of these state right-of-ways, not just for us as a nation, just to look and ask for help, but how is it that the Board could be able to help us in asking the Arizona Department of Transportation to modify some of these policies where all the right-of-ways should be with -- should be -- the ADOT should be able to maintain and improve a lot of these exit roads, especially some of these bus pullouts.

So again, that's my main area for today's report, and I appreciate the opportunity for us to -- as a nation to continue to work with the Arizona Department of Transportation, and I know the director and some of the staff have been back -- have been out onto the Navajo Nation and knew the situation out

there. So again, I'd just continue to ask for your support and how we continue to ask for getting the right-of-ways improved, not just for the local community, but all those that travel through our Navajo Nation, because we would have several monuments that people that continues to work on -- I mean, to attend with that. I think my time is up, but last I just want to say thank you very much and have a wonderful day.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Okay. Mike Humphrey, and on deck is Rich Vitello.

MR. HUMPHREY: Thank you.

My name is Mike Humphrey. I returned this morning to request that you place the median barrier cable issue on your October 8th study session for discussion and action.

In March of this year, Board Member Gary Knight requested that this item be placed on the board agenda for discussion and action. I fully support Mr. Knight's request. It is crucial that the Board be allowed to receive both public input and expert testimony in order to establish a policy on the use of median cable barriers on crash-prone sections of I-10 and other interstates throughout the state.

The establishment of such a median cable barrier policy is consistent with the stated role of the Arizona

Transportation Board, in which it is granted policy powers by the governor and serves an advisory capacity to the director of

the Arizona Department of Transportation.

For the past 18 months, I and other supporters of median cable barriers have appeared on numerous occasions before this board describing the dangerous conditions currently existing on I-10 and providing information on the efficacy of the median cable barriers to significantly reduce the incident of facilities and serious injuries associated with cross-median crashes.

Our ability to fully explore this issue with you has been hampered by the public meeting rules governing call to the audience presentations.

In addition to public input provided at your meetings, you have also been made aware of numerous media reports on this issue, which have identified crash prone sections of I-10 and the numbers of crashes, fatalities and injuries which have occurred.

You are also aware that ADOT recommended the placement of median cable barriers in crash prone sections of I-10 in their 2013 Roadway Departure Safety Implementation Plan Annual Report.

You are also aware that local elected officials up and down I-10, including the mayor of this city, have expressed their concerns about the safety of this roadway and the need for median cable barriers and other safety improvements to be implemented.

In my conversations with both ADOT staff and some of you regarding placing this item on your agenda, I have been told repeatedly that this issue cannot be discussed as long as there is ongoing litigation. The currently legal challenges are occurring in part due to the lack of median cable barriers in crash prone areas of Arizona highways. Continuing to ignore the median cable barrier issue will not make these legal challenges disappear, nor prevent future cross median crashes.

You do not work for ADOT. As noted above, the Board has been tasked by the governor with providing advice and policy direction to ADOT, and the examination of and policy recommendation on the use of median cable barriers on Arizona highways falls within your purview.

The human cost is just too high to continue to ignore the serious public health and safety issue. Too many families, like my own, have suffered the loss of serious injury or death of loved ones. Too many families will suffer the same fate if nothing is done.

To paraphrase a famous quote from the 60s, you're either part of the solution or part of the problem. You have both the power and responsibility to be part of the solution.

You can begin by placing the median cable barrier issue on your October 8th agenda.

Thank you.

I'd like to make one comment about the poster I

brought in today. That is my wife's car. That -- she went through an 80-foot median without a cable. It just shows how ineffective -- cross-median crashes, you're going to have them as long as you don't have a cable. I don't care how wide the median is.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Okay. Rich Vitello. I'm not sure I'm pronouncing that right. And on deck is Linda Sullivan.

MR. VITELLO: Good morning, board members. I appreciate everything that you've done for our great state, especially for our city, the city of Maricopa. I'm also a council member and a resident here. And thank you for the overpass. It's absolutely beautiful. You did a great job, and we really do appreciate it. The whole city appreciates it.

I'm here to talk today about the 347. It's personal to me. Over the course of the last three years, I've unfortunately had to wear these benefit jerseys for my good friend, Izzy Calderon, who was in a very serious accident on the 347. These are my memories of the three accidents — of the accident, and this third year, he's in a coma. He's still in a coma today, and we don't know when or if he'll ever come out of it. He is completely brain dead, and we have the tough task of helping to raise money for his family, because insurance is going to run out. He's a father. He's a great husband, and

he's also a public servant to the jail. He's a -- I'm sorry.

But he's a great man.

But not only do I speak for Izzy and all the accidents that happened on the 347. I speak for the city of Maricopa and people who travel through this city and want to go in and out of it, but you hear the accidents day in and day out, and when there's a fatality, they have to close it down. And the great people of Maricopa who have to come back from work every day sometimes may never have to that don't know what's going on.

So I really do wish, and I know it's a very tough job, because you get this day and day out, and I really do appreciate everything that you guys do, because it's a very tough job. I just hope that we can get this on a quicker plan than five years or ten years or whatever the case may be, but we really do need to have this fixed. And I know a lot of people here in the city do know that, and they all support that. And I know that it's a tough job for you guys, and please understand that it's personal to a lot of us here. And I don't know when this family's going to make that tough decision to let him rest in peace.

So all I ask is from my heart to please understand that this is very, very personal, not only to me, to the families, and everybody in the softball community living here in the city of Maricopa. God bless you, and I hope that

```
1
     this is something that you guys can really push up on the
 2
     program.
 3
                    Thank you very much and have a great day and a
 4
     blessed day.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
 6
                    Okay. Next up we have Linda Sullivan. On deck
 7
     we have Jerry Williams.
 8
                    MS. SULLIVAN: Good morning. I thank you for
 9
     taking the time to listen to me. I'm a nobody. I'm a single
10
     mom who worked really hard, and I bought a house out in
11
     Thunderbird Farms. Do you know that is? Anybody here know
12
     where Thunderbird Farms is? No? It's right out past the
13
     casino, a little section there where everybody has three and a
14
     half acres or more.
15
                    I'd like to pass these out to you. Is that okay
16
     with you?
17
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: You can give those to the
18
     board secretary, and she'll get them to us. Thank you.
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, I was just going
20
     say, if you please give me those, we'll distribute them to the
21
     board members, and we will have a record.
22
                    MS. SULLIVAN: It helps if you see it while I'm
23
     talking. Thank you.
24
                    I worked really hard to buy this house on three
```

and a half acres and raise my son out in the country rather than

25

1 the city. Now they're telling me they want to -- you want to
2 built a freeway over my house.

I was told that ADOT already owns the property on the orange route. I'm here, please, influencing you to choose the orange route. The orange route is already owned by you. The orange route has already been graded, and the orange route has already had the impact environmental study completed. It's about 12 miles southwest of where the green route is that Mr. Smith was talking about.

Why would you want to spend billions of dollars that you don't have to when we already have that property? You already own it. We could do that. There are families, their homes, their families for generation that have lived out there. Do you care about that? I don't know. I'm here to see if you care.

We have Hispanics who aren't speaking English and aren't even aware of what's going on. We're trying to make them know what's going on. We have disabled people, blind people.

Moving them would be huge, an almost impossible endeavor financially.

What I'm asking today is look at what's going on in Phoenix. There's a big movement right now. All of the young people are fighting. They want to fight for climate change and the destruction of the wildlife and the deserts right now in Arizona. Do you care about that? They do. And our deserts out

```
1
     where I live are full of -- teaming of widelife.
 2
                    Please consider the orange route. I ask you with
 3
     all my heart. Thank you.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
 5
                    We will now move on to Item No. 1, the director's
 6
     report, and this is for information only.
 7
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members
 8
     of the Board. The director sends his apologies. Something came
 9
     up. He was not able to make it. I have no report. He had no
10
     items to present. He did want to remember -- remind board
11
     members if you have issues for him to present in the future,
12
     please let me know and we'll make sure to get them on the
13
     agenda. Thank you.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
15
                    Okay. Moving on to Item No. 2, the district
16
     engineer's report with Randy Everett. This is for information
17
     and discussion only.
18
                    MR. EVERETT: Good morning, Chairman Sellers,
19
     members of the Board. My name is Randy Everett. I am the
20
     senior administrator for the Central District.
21
                    A couple months ago, Judy Gadsby was here.
22
     Better version of myself. She gave you an overall of the
23
     district, an overall look of the district. So today I'm going
```

to just give you a brief overview of some of the things that are

around the city of Maricopa, some of the things I think people

24

25

would be interested in.

Central District, on the construction side is made up of myself as the -- essentially the DE, and then James Hartman and Dylan Cardie are my assistant district engineers.

So today we're going to talk about three different types of projects that are going on in and around Maricopa. We actually have one -- or some of each of these, which is fantastic: Perseveration, modernization and expansion. So let's start with preservation.

Back in April, we did an eastbound Wildhorse Pass — this is Wildhorse Pass down to Riggs Road, where we do what we call a flush, a fog seal. It seals cracks. What it does is restore the flexibility in the pavement. It's really proven to keep our roads — the rubber on our roads, the rubber asphalt, going for quite a bit longer. So we're trying to do this around the entire valley, but this is an area that's on I-10. It's in this general area. Interestingly enough, westbound will be next weekend. So anybody that's out there might want to stay home. This does get a little bit busy when we're out here. But this will finish up the area on I-10 in this area about where Wildhorse Pass and Riggs Road is. So those are our two preservation.

We have a modernization project, and typically I don't talk about things that aren't in construction yet, but I wanted to bring this up in case you did have any questions. We

have a signal, it's probably in the later stages of design right now at Maricopa Road and 347. And so what we are doing, we've had a -- we, the collective "we" is ADOT -- have heard our constituents, and there -- just a signal at this location has not been super popular with a lot of people. So what we're looking into right now is maybe an enhancement, a compromise that makes this a little bit more palatable for everyone. What we're looking into is what we call a "high T." It would be a situation where you would have free-flowing movement to the north or east, if you will. You would have two lanes that would continue to be free flowing in that direction. But it would allow for a left turn movement into Maricopa Road traveling in that north or east direction. In the other direction, of course, there would be a stop point at the signal itself.

What this allows for is the Maricopa traffic on Maricopa Drive -- Road to be able to pull out into the left lane without oncoming traffic, and then merge into that eastbound or northbound traffic. So this is a good compromise that we're hoping will make everybody happy about the situation, that signal.

What we have right now is we are reaching out to our partners at MAG for the additional funding that that might need. So it is a little bit delayed, but we do plan on moving forward with that project.

Finally, we've got some great expansion projects,

1 which I know you're all familiar with. We see them every day, 2 but these are exciting to us because they are now coming to a 3 completion. The one on Picacho on Interstate 10, you're all 4 very familiar with that. That is a beautiful project. That was 5 a realignment project. I'll give you a little bit more 6 information. But that's a picture of what it looks right now. 7 We're almost done. That -- from Sunshine to East Picacho 8 Highway is where that is. It's actually realigning the highway 9 down there. New bridges over 87, and the Union Pacific 10 Railroad. Right now it's about 94 percent complete. We've 11 expended about the same, 97 percent funds. That one should be 12 done in November. So we're super excited about that. Also has 13 the dust monitoring system on that, which we feel is very 14 important for the general area.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

next one we have, also almost complete, I-8 to
Earley. You see a picture of it here. It really is essentially
running -- up and running, and it is complete. So it's a great
project for us. This is -- if you haven't seen it, it's from
Earley Road to Interstate 8 down there. It replaces the bridges
over Jimmy Kerr Boulevard, Union Pacific Railroad. This project
is about 100 percent complete right now. It did go over in
funding just a little bit. That's -- has to do with some of the
utility funding that we have out there.

Finally, the expansion project I want to bring to your attention, obviously, is the 347. That is also coming a

```
1
    finish. That's where we have the new bridge on the new
2
    alignment you see every day if you're around here. It's a
3
    500-foot-long bridge over the Union Pacific Railroad in
4
    Maricopa. So very exciting project. It's about 90 percent
5
    complete now. About 91 percent of the funding has been
6
    expended. So that's also a very positive project. It is
7
    actually, as Mayor Price knows, over -- it has opened to traffic
8
    right now, so that's exciting. People can use that.
```

That's all I've got. Any questions? And I'm happy to answer any questions around the entire valley, also.

But this was just kind of the little smattering of things around here.

Okay. With no questions, thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.

I think I mentioned during the call to the audience that Jerry Williams was on deck, and then I didn't call him up. So Jerry, are you still here? Sorry. My mistake.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. Thank you, Board Chair and the board members. Thank you for you allowing me to speak on my behalf for my community of Le Chee. And I -- my name is Jerry Williams, and I'm the Le Chee Chapter president, and I've been before the Board a few times and talked about my community of Le Chee.

One of things that I want this board here to take a look is Highway 98 and -- in 222, which goes to Antelope Point

```
1
     Marina, and I had -- I went and got some data from Navajo Parks
 2
     and Rec, which operates the Upper and Lower Antelope Canyons,
 3
     and back in 19- -- 2017, they had about 156,000 people come
 4
     through there. And then in 2018, they had about 172,000 people
 5
     that came through the upper and lower canyons.
 6
                    So the intersection there is kind of dangerous,
 7
     and I'm in the process of getting some data from DPS, Navajo PD
 8
     and the Coconino County, and also the National Park Service. So
 9
     when I come back next time, I'll give you guys some data about
     all the accidents and things that are happening in that area.
10
11
                    And you guys are probably aware that the City of
12
     Page made some improvements over at the Horseshoe Bend, and
13
     that's now being really monitored, but it's really getting
14
     compact out there, too. That's on Highway 89, going into Page
15
     from the south side. So I just want to let you guys know that,
16
     you know, I'm here advocating, and I will be back again.
17
                    Thank you for letting me speak. Thank you.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
19
                    Okay. We'll now move on to the consent agent.
20
     Does any member want any item removed from consent?
21
                    Board Member Stratton.
22
                    MR. STRATTON:
                                   Item 6D.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Item 6D. Okay.
24
                    MR. ELTERS: Chairman.
25
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes.
```

```
1
                    MR. ELTERS: I'd like to pull 6F, 6J and 6K.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Say those again, Board Member
 3
     Elters.
 4
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Item 6 is for the
 5
     regular construction contract. We're on the Item 3, the consent
 6
     agenda. Are there items for Item 3?
 7
                    MR. ELTERS: I stand corrected. I'll wait.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
 9
                                             Thank you, Floyd.
                    MR. STRATTON: So do I.
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: But I do have one comment to make
11
     on the consent agenda, Mr. Chairman and board members. Item 3J
12
     is a MAG project that has RARF funding in it. The Board is
13
     actioning it today, which is appropriate, but then a reminder
14
     that MAG Regional Council doesn't meet until next week, and they
15
     have to action it as well. So because of the timing and the
16
     process, we're actioning it first, but that project still has to
17
     go to MAG Regional Council because of the RARF fund.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.
19
                    Is there a motion to approve the consent agenda?
20
                    MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, I'd like to move to
21
     approve the consent agenda.
22
                    MR. KNIGHT: Second.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a motion by
24
     Board Member Thompson, and a second by Board Member Knight. Any
25
     discussion?
```

All in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

3 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion 4 carries.

We'll now move on to the financial report, with Floyd Roehrich. You're wearing a lot of hats today, Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's the end of a busy time, obviously, the end of the federal fiscal year this month and lot of other issues. So Kristine was just inundated at work.

There's not a lot financially as we are in the middle of kind of closing out the federal year, as well as this is kind of the beginning of the fiscal year for us as well. So I just wanted to point out a couple of things. She will have a more comprehensive discussion, obviously, next month, as well as talk about some of the recent grants that the State has been contacted about. She'll be able to talk more specifically about some of these financial things, will be available next month.

She just wanted to point out even though it's the beginning of the month, it's a summer month, we are seeing HURF revenues down a little bit from what forecast was, but again, it's the beginning of the year, it's the month. These are the slow period. She doesn't see that as an issue that would affect later on funding, but just something that we're monitoring, and she'll be able to talk more specifically about that.

Road Fund. It's a little bit smaller amount below forecast, but it's the beginning of the year. It's the summer months. People just need to, you know, stop buying beer and going to the lakes. They need to go to the bars and eat. Don't drink, but eat, because we're kind of falling short on some of those. So -- and remember to tip your waitresses and waiters.

On the federal program, like I said, the issue

she was wanting to make sure to follow the Board on with was some of the grant information. We've been successful on a couple of grants with early notice. They're still going through their — kind of their waiting period, but by next month, in October, she will be able to talk more specifically about that as well as any other federal actions that are going on, especially as we close out the fiscal year, start the new federal fiscal year.

With that, Mr. Chair and board members, that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: So they -- we did get a significant grant, a BUILD grant, I think, that will be applied to I-17, and she'll explain to us how that will impact the overall financials?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, board members, that is correct.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Any other questions for Floyd?

All right. Moving on to Agenda Item 5 with Greg Byres. This is for information and discussion only.

MR. BYRES: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, board members. I had just had a couple of items I wanted to go through today. One of the first ones is we are currently in the process of trying to get our -- all of the projects in our P2P analyzed. So at this point, we've got our all of our preservation and our modernization projects pulled together that we are now starting to analyze. We're also taking and putting together the last of our expansion projects and trying to get all the data pulled together for those.

One of the big things that we're doing is trying to schedule all of our district workshops. So over the next couple weeks, each of you will be receiving an invitation to attend those workshops as we go forward. One of the big things, I had mentioned this a couple months ago, was trying to take and involve you all in our processes, and this is a great opportunity for you to see what projects there are and also to help with any input in coming into those. So each of your representative districts, there will be an invite going out so that you can attend if you want to, but it's -- it would be a huge input for us to see if you -- if you do have any input and so forth. So you will be seeing those invites coming pretty soon.

1 MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman.

2 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Stratton.

MR. STRATTON: I'd just like to thank Greg for that. That's something that I had discussed with staff previously about Board input on projects, and thank you for the response and the effort.

MR. BYRES: Uh-huh.

The other thing that we have is we do have some tier one projects that are going out for, currently, public comment. Our north/south corridor project is -- has been advertised in the Federal Register. We're now getting ready to have public hearings on that. So that's coming up here fairly quickly.

We also have on our Sonoran corridor, that's getting ready to go out for a public comment as well. That's still about three months away, but it's getting fairly close to that point. We've taken and actually sized down the number of alternatives. So we're going through the last of that on that particular project. I-11, those -- that comment period has already closed. We're now taking -- consolidating all of those comments, addressing those comments that will go forth and be represented in the final -- as that goes forth for a final determination.

So those are all items that we have going. I just wanted to kind of keep you in the loop on what we've got

```
1
     for that. Thank you very much.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
 3
                    MR. THOMPSON: Chairman.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. Board Member Thompson.
 5
                    MR. THOMPSON: Maybe in the future, if it has not
 6
     been part of the training that you're talking about, I'd like to
 7
     know information on how the State can obtain right-of-ways on
     tribal Native American land for transportation purposes.
 8
 9
                    Thank you, Chair.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
11
                    Okay. Next we're going to move on to Item No. 6
12
     with Greg Byres, for discussion and possible action.
13
                    MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board
14
     members.
15
                    I'll start off with Items 6B through 6I.
16
     are eight project modifications. And so with that, the PPAC
17
     brings forth these items for approval with a recommendation for
18
     approval.
19
                    MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chair, I'd like to discuss
20
     item 6D, please.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Stratton.
22
                    MR. STRATTON: I'd like to discuss Item 6D.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
24
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman.
25
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. Board Member Elters.
```

```
1
                    MR. ELTERS: I'd like a little more discussion on
 2
     Item 6F, please.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Do we want to have a
 4
     motion to approve all the items except for D and F in that?
 5
                    MR. HAMMOND: So moved.
 6
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, if there are just some
 7
     questions about it, we could approve the motion, open for
 8
     questions, they give the answers, and if that's satisfactory,
 9
     then you can move the motion, or if you're not satisfied, then
10
     you can request that those get pulled.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
12
                    MR. STRATTON: Just a question.
13
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Do I have a motion on
14
     Item 6B through 6I?
15
                    MR. HAMMOND: So moved.
16
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
17
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Who made the motion?
18
                    MR. HAMMOND: I did.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Motion by Board Member
20
     Hammond, second by Board Member Thompson. Any discussion?
21
                    MR. STRATTON: On Item 6D, Mr. Chairman, so on
22
     I-15, you wanted to increase the budget by approximately
23
     $500,000, more or less?
24
                    MR. BYRES: Correct.
25
                    MR. STRATTON: Where's the money coming from?
```

```
1
                    MR. BYRES: That money is coming out of our
 2
     bridge fund. So we have -- in the bridge fund itself, there's
 3
     money been set aside for multiple bridges, as well as
 4
     contingencies for those. That's where that money's coming from.
 5
                    In this particular case, what we're looking at
 6
     doing is taking and decreasing the numbers of spans for that
 7
     bridge. That was done -- or the rationale for that came forth
 8
     in a value engineering study that was done. One of the big
 9
     things is, yes, we're putting money towards the design for this,
10
     but it will take and come back in excess in the cost of
11
     construction. So it's just a tradeoff at this point in time.
12
     We're looking at reduced construction costs considerably with
13
     the reduction in the number of spans.
14
                    MR. STRATTON: So you will redo the estimate on
15
     the cost once this is done?
16
                    MR. BYRES: Correct. Once we revise the design,
17
     we'll have new cost estimates.
18
                    MR. STRATTON: I would ask when you come back
19
     with that, that you give us the original estimate and the new
20
     estimate.
21
                    MR. BYRES: You bet.
22
                    MR. STRATTON: And what the difference is.
23
     you.
24
                    MR. BYRES: We can certainly do that.
25
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Elters.
```

MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman, also a question on 6F, and indirectly, it's related to 6K (inaudible). This project now requires right-of-way acquisition and/or easements, 100 parcels, and (inaudible) at the cost of (inaudible), as well as the cost of acquisition. It's about \$3 and a half million easy. I did ask Greg earlier about an explanation. He provided one. I think it's important and valuable for the rest of the board members to hear it, and that's the reason I wanted to ask the question.

MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Elters, this particular section of 77 is -- when it was originally constructed, it was constructed as a rural section. Since it was constructed, development has occurred along both sides.

It's pretty much fully developed now. There are no sidewalks. The drainage for that section of roadway is basically done with swales along both sides of the road.

What we're looking at doing now is improving that to a rural section. As such, in order to get that put together, there's additional right-of-way needed on both sides, as well as changes in access to the existing businesses, as well as some of the residents that occur along both of those. There's approximately 100 different parcels that are being affected with this section, which is the reason for this change that we're going for.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.

```
1
                    All right. We have a motion and a second. All
 2
     in favor say aye.
 3
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
 5
     carries.
 6
                    Okay. On PPAC new project Items 6J and 6K.
 7
                    MR. BYRES: Well, Mr. Chairman, we're bringing
 8
     forth both of these projects. These are new projects, and PPAC
 9
     brings them forward with a recommendation for approval.
10
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman, I do have a question
11
     on 6J.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
13
                    MR. ELTERS: If I may. And it's really just to
14
     point out that the supporting sheet that was provided with each
15
     project does not match the information on the project itself.
16
     So Item 6J is listed as I-10, Milepost 274.8, Houghton Road TI,
17
     and yet the supporting sheet (inaudible) relates to SR-77. I
18
     think it's just inadvertent, but nonetheless, the numbers and
19
     the corridors do not match.
20
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, those -- that can
21
     certainly be corrected so that we can take care of that.
22
                    MR. ELTERS: That's it.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Do we have a motion?
24
                    MR. STRATTON: So moved.
25
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
```

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member
 2
     Stratton, second by Board Member Thompson. Any further
 3
     discussion?
 4
                    All in favor say aye.
 5
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
 7
     carries.
 8
                    Okay. Moving on to PPAC airport projects Item 6L
 9
     through M.
10
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, these are projects that
11
     are being brought forth to the Board for approval. These
12
     airports are all with changes due to additional costs on the
13
     federal side. These are -- basically, we're proposing putting
14
     forth a portion of the match through an FAA grant for each of
15
     the different airports. FAA has already accepted the higher
16
     costs that were associated with the projects. So all we're
17
     doing is bumping our match to match that of FAA. So with that,
18
     we bring this forward with a recommendation for approval.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Do we have a motion?
20
                    MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, move to approve.
21
                    MR. STRATTON: Second.
22
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We have a motion to approve by
23
     Board Member Knight, second by Board Member Stratton. Any
24
     discussion?
25
                    All in favor say aye.
```

```
1
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
 3
     carries.
 4
                    MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Are we going back now
 6
     to 6A?
 7
                    MR. BYRES: So yes. So going to 6A, this is what
 8
     we're looking at is the State Aviation System Plan. So kind of
 9
     go through. All I'm just going to do is just kind of go through
10
     a summary of what the plan is.
11
                    So the original State Aviation System Plan, we
12
     call it SASP, was written in 1978. It's been updated several
13
     times. The last update was done in 2008. This current 2018
14
     version, I believe you all had either a link to it or I believe
15
     there was hard copies. I'm not sure if you got the hard copies
16
     or not. It's a very large document. There is --
17
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. We have it.
18
                    MR. BYRES: -- an executive summary. So if you
19
     went through the executive summary, you got the highlights of
20
     everything in it.
21
                    The SASP provides basically an assessment of the
22
     inventory of the existing airports, as well as current
23
     management practices and policies for the aeronautics group
24
     itself, as well as some of those for this board, which we go
25
     through, and this board has certain policies that regulate our
```

aeronautics group.

The SASP also provides projections of future growth, as well as changes in state aviation, as well as recommendations for changes in policies and practices.

The development of the SASP had two different sections. We had a vision and a set of goals. The vision and the goals were based on aviation needs and state economic growth, as well as impact, safety and responsible stewardship of the Aviation Fund itself.

So with the airports, the inventory of the airports, there are 67 airports across the state that are recognized for funding through the State itself. Not all of those 67 airports qualify for FAA funds, but they all qualify for State funds.

There's a classification difference that's set up for each of the different airports. We have commercial service airports. We have reliever airports, and we have general aviation airports that are all spread across the state. So if you look at that map of the state, you can kind of see how sporadically set up each of the different airports are. But we do have very good coverage of our airspace across the state, which was -- which is huge for the ruralness of our state.

Economic impact of aviation in Arizona, that was presented in this report. The growth rate in Arizona is at 37 percent, compared to the national average of 20 percent. The

median age in Arizona was 37.3 in 2016. We projected everything through -- this is a 20-year plan. So in 2036, we're looking at the age of the state starting to get up a little bit higher as we see more retirees moving in. The employment in Arizona is 3.6 million. That was in 2016. We're projecting it to be 5.1 million in 2036. Gross regional product is at 280 billion, recorded in 2016, and it's being projected to be 480 billion in 2036. Household income 45K was 53 percent, or above 45K was 53 percent in 2016, and it was projected to be at 69 percent in 2036. So we're seeing good quality jobs coming through into the state in the future.

Expansion and growth in aviation, this kind of gives you an idea of what we're looking at. Got some numbers that are off on one of those. But in 2016, you can see the biggest item that we have, and it's one that FAA looks at most heavily in trying to get funding out, is based aircraft and total operations. If you look at that and you see we have an extreme growth between 2016 and 2036. In fact, there's growth in every single category that goes through, and it ranges, but you'll see it's fairly consistent across the next 20 years.

The plan recommendations, continuously monitor data points obtained during the SASP, access progress over time. There's several different metrics that were set up in the SASP. Conduct special studies addressing airports in Cochise County

and airport demand capacity to assess existing or potential airport airspace congestion issues and specific residence. We already have that set up in our five-year program. So you'll see each one of these different studies coming through in future years as we take and program each one of those in future five-year programs.

Complete UAS safety integration, obstruction mitigation program, and runway incursion mitigation studies to optimize airport safety and ensure compliance with current FAA design standards. Again, that's one of the standards that will be coming forth in future five-year programs. Align State Transportation Board policies with the findings and recommendations of the 2018 SASP update concluding those regarding funding processes, planning, resource allocation, and project prioritization.

A lot of those aren't necessarily State

Transportation Board policies, but they are processes in which aviation or the aeronautics group works for planning. A lot of those have already been implemented or are going to be implemented within the next couple years, such as we're replicating our P2P process that we use on our highway side.

We're replicating that into the aeronautics side. It works very well so it's -- so we're trying to take and expand and keep everything as consistent as possible across the different modes of transportation.

1	The next one is modify the five-year capital
2	improvement program processes to support proactive grant
3	planning associated with state aviation funds. One of the big
4	things that we've done is we've taken and made each year that
5	we've present the five-year program to this board, a fiscally
6	constrained program that takes and pretty much zeros out that
7	State Aviation Fund on an annual basis so that there's no
8	overrun and no no way that that money can be taken pulled
9	from the fund for other purposes.
10	So with that, we have provided a recommended
11	motion for adoption of the State Aviation Plan.
12	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Any questions?
13	MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, any comments coming from
14	the community, either to the government or individually, about
15	(inaudible)?
16	MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Thompson,
17	during the process of putting this together, yes, we received
18	multiple comments from all of the different airports. This was
19	put out for them to review, as well as their communities before
20	we finalized this the entire document.
21	MR. THOMPSON: So all the comments have been very
22	positive?
23	MR. BYRES: Yes, they have.
24	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Stratton.
25	MR. STRATTON: You mentioned a study in Cochise

```
1
     County. Will that be of all the airports or only the ones
 2
     eligible for FAA funding?
 3
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, that
 4
     particular one, what we were looking at is we had some
 5
     efficiencies that we were trying to take care of within Cochise
 6
     County, but those studies are either ongoing or will be put
 7
     together probably in our next five-year program update.
 8
                    MR. STRATTON: Again, are those airports eligible
 9
     for just state funding or FAA funding or both?
10
                    MR. BYRES: I can't tell you whether they're NEPA
11
     certified or not. I'm not sure which ones they are.
12
                    MR. STRATTON: Okay. Thank you.
13
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Elters.
15
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Byres, can you go back to the
16
     slide where you had discussed the economic impact of this.
17
     that the gross regional product was $280 billion in 2016?
18
     That's an annual number?
19
                    MR. BYRES: That's -- that is -- that $260
20
     billion that we have in there, I wish I could tell you exactly
21
     where that number came from. I know when the study was put
22
     together, there was a large emphasis put on the economics,
23
     particularly on the economics that surround aeronautics. So
24
     they had to pull that number to start with in order to pull the
25
     aeronautics information out. I do not have the original source
```

```
1
     for that data.
 2
                    MR. ELTERS: I realize this is statewide. It's
 3
     the economic impact of aviation in Arizona. I mean (inaudible).
 4
     It just caught my eye of (inaudible) --
 5
                    MR. BYRES: Yes.
 6
                    MR. ELTERS: -- (inaudible) a little bit more
 7
     about where that number came from and (inaudible).
                    MR. BYRES: We can certainly provide that.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Do we have a motion to
10
     approve and adopt the Arizona State Aviation System Plan as
11
     presented?
12
                    MR. ELTERS: So moved.
13
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member Elters.
14
                    MR. STRATTON: Second.
15
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Second by Board Member
16
     Stratton. Any further discussion?
17
                    All in favor say aye.
18
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
20
     carries. Thank you.
21
                    MR. BYRES: Thank you.
22
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All right. Next up we have
23
     Agenda Item 7, the state engineer's report. This is for
24
     information and discussion only.
25
                    Dallas.
```

1 MR. HAMMIT: Good morning, Mr. Chair. On the 2 state engineer's report, currently we have 102 projects under 3 construction totaling \$1.996 billion, just \$2 under billion. 4 In August we finalized four projects totaling 5 44.2 million, and year to date we have finalized 16 projects. 6 The other thing I wanted to mention during the 7 state engineer's report, we were asked at the last meeting to 8 have a update on the 260 project. Currently, after that -- that 9 meeting, the Board approved funding for the design. We've taken that. We had to prepare an authorization. We have received 10 11 authorization from Federal Highways to begin work. Since that 12 point, we've assigned a project manager. He is preparing -- or they are. I'm not sure who it is. The project manager is 13 14 preparing the scope of work so we can advertise it for a 15 consultant to begin design. That same consultant will update 16 the environmental process, and then our project manager will be 17 developing a schedule for the project, the development of the 18 project, and from that point, we will make that available to 19 those interested. Instead of doing a regular update, we'll just 20 give you that schedule and our progress on the schedule as we 21 move forward. 22 Mr. Chairman. MR. STRATTON: 23 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yeah. Board Member Stratton. 24 MR. STRATTON: Dallas, thank you. I really

appreciate the update and the continued support.

25

1 MR. HAMMIT: Okay. 2 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 3 Okay. Moving on to Agenda Item 8, construction 4 contracts for discussion and possible action. 5 Dallas. 6 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, 7 Board, for approving the four items on the consent agenda. 8 There are six items needed to be talked about in the -- for 9 justification. 10 As you can see from the slide, year to date we are fairly close on the projects that we have advertised. 11 12 are actually right around .4 percent over the engineer's 13 estimate, and as you remember last year, that number had gotten 14 up to around 15 percent. 15 Now, I don't want to give false hope that prices 16 are coming down. We're just getting closer in our estimate. So 17 we're not seeing a big change in prices. So don't let that lead 18 you in a different way, but we are getting better as estimating 19 the projects. 20 Mr. Chair, the first item that needs to be 21 addressed is Item 8A. This is a bridge rehabilitation project

Mr. Chair, the first item that needs to be addressed is Item 8A. This is a bridge rehabilitation project on Interstate 40. The low bid was \$1,181,299. The State's estimate was \$1,417,031. It was over the State's estimate by 235,781, or 16.6 percent. We saw different prices in the structural concrete -- or we saw better pricing in the

22

23

24

25

```
1
     structural concrete, the carbon fiber and the -- the deck
     sealer. The Department has reviewed the bid and believes it is
 2
 3
     a responsive and responsible bid and would recommend award to
 4
     DBA Construction, Inc.
 5
                    MR. THOMPSON: Chairman.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Thompson.
 7
                    MR. THOMPSON: With your permission, I'd like to
 8
     thank him, Dallas, for (inaudible). With that, I'd like to make
 9
     a motion to approve awarding the project.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a motion by
11
     Board Member Thompson.
12
                    MR. STRATTON: Second.
13
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Second by Board Member
14
     Stratton.
                Any further discussion?
15
                    All in favor say aye.
16
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
18
     carries.
19
                    MR. HAMMIT: And I want to make sure the Board --
20
     this one was -- the bid did come in better -- under the State's
21
     estimate. I wish I could say that for the rest of them, but I
22
     can't.
23
                    The next project, Item 8B, is a pavement
24
     rehabilitation project on US-60. This project, the low bid was
25
     $8,146,153. The State's estimate was $6,741,831. It was over
```

the State's estimate by \$1,404,322, or 20.8 percent. On this project, we saw higher than expected pricing for the asphaltic concrete.

The guardrail, we're entering into a new standard which is required. It's called MASH. And then mobilization.

On this project, the -- it is a corridor Mr. Stratton -- Member Stratton knows it very well, but it is one lane in each direction through a mountain pass. There will be traffic control. Mobilization will have to set up a control daily.

It's not something we set up and leave for long periods of time. So that was part of the extra cost.

One thing else I want to mention on this project. It is in the same proximity as the bridge work that we have out there. The two projects will work together, and we will work the best we can to reduce as much as possible the delay to the traveling public, but there will be some delay, you know, being one lane. We'll work on one lane, and we'll have flaggers operating the other, but we'll do our best to keep those delays as short as possible. With that, the Department has reviewed the bid and believes it is a responsive and responsible bid and recommends award to FNF Construction, Inc.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Stratton.

MR. STRATTON: I'd like to support Dallas' comments there. Highway 60 is a very difficult highway to work on, having been in the business before. It's -- the backups are

```
1
     quick. There's a lot of traffic on that, and it's -- the delays
 2
     are tough. Having said that, I'd like to make a motion to
 3
     approve, with some discussion after the vote, please.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
 5
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We have a second from Board
 7
     Member Thompson. Any discussion?
 8
                    MR. STRATTON: I'll wait until after the vote.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. All in favor say aye.
10
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
12
     carries.
13
                    Board Member Stratton.
14
                    MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15
                    Dallas, as Mr. Sanders commented earlier, the PIO
16
     for the bridge work has done an exceptional job in our community
17
     in letting people know. We do have some several mines that are
18
     affected by this, as approximately 50 percent of the workforce
19
     comes out of the East Valley to Globe mining area. I'd just ask
20
     that you continue to have those efforts to the citizens that
21
     work with FMI and the other mines there (inaudible).
22
                    Will this be all in the daytime or would it be
23
     nighttime work, also?
24
                    MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chairman, Member Stratton, right
25
     now it is scheduled -- there were no time restrictions in the
```

```
1
     contract, so we're anticipating daytime work.
 2
                    MR. STRATTON: Will this begin approximately
 3
     November, December and April, May time frame --
 4
                    MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chair, Mr. Stratton, this is
 5
     correct.
 6
                    MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
 8
                    Item 8C.
 9
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
                    8C is a project in the Prescott Valley area.
     It's an access control project where we'll be putting in a
11
12
     raised median to help -- a safety improvement and control
13
     access. On the project, the low bid was $1,849,612.
14
     State's estimate was $1,666,049. It was over the State's
15
     estimate by $183,563, or 11 percent.
16
                    The -- we underestimated the work for the curb
17
     and gutter, which would be the median. It is actually pinned to
18
     the existing pavement. We have reviewed the bid. I was
19
     surprised that there was only one bidder on this project. But
20
     we have reviewed that bid and believe it is a responsive and
21
     responsible bid and would recommend award to Fann Contracting,
22
     Inc.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Do I have a motion?
24
     Board Member Stratton.
25
                    MR. KNIGHT: So moved. I move to approve Item
```

1 8C. 2 MR. ELTERS: Second. 3 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Second by Board Member Elters. 4 Any discussion? 5 All in favor please say aye. 6 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion 8 carries. 9 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 10 Item 8D is a bridge rehabilitation project on State Route 389. The low bid on this project -- I guess I 11 12 better get caught up on here -- the low bid was \$2,172,256. The 13 State's estimate was \$1,410,430. It was over the State's 14 estimate by \$761,826, or 54 percent. 15 As we looked at the bids and reviewed them, we 16 had higher than expected pricing in our structural backfill, 17 riprap, mobilization, and our steel. Really, as we dug into 18 those prices even further, it is in a remote area, and we talked 19 to the contractor. They're going to actually have to move their 20 crews up there, put them in housing, and we just didn't account 21 for those costs properly in our estimate. After reviewing the 22 estimate, the Department believes it is a responsive and 23 responsible bid and recommends award to Vastco, Inc. 24 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Board Member Knight, 25 you have a big district.

```
1
                    MR. KNIGHT: Just slightly. Move to approve Item
 2
     8D.
 3
                    MR. STRATTON: Second.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We have a motion by Board
 5
     Member Knight, a second by Board Member Stratton. Any
     discussion?
 6
 7
                    All in favor say aye.
 8
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
10
     carries.
11
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12
                    Item 8E is a local project in the City of Apache
13
     Junction. On the project, the low bid was $2,426,862. The
14
     State's estimate was $2,332,187. It was over the State's
15
     estimate by 94,675, or 4.1 percent. The Department is
16
     requesting the Board to defer this project. We need to review
17
     the DBE goals. The low bid did not submit the proper amount.
18
     We're going to give them a chance to explain, and then we will
19
     bring this back up at a later board meeting. Recommendation is
20
     to postpone.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
22
                    MR. STRATTON: Move to postpone.
23
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a motion to
25
```

postpone by Board Member Stratton, a second by Board Member

```
1
     Thompson. Any discussion?
 2
                    All in favor say aye.
 3
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
 5
     carries.
 6
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 7
                    And then our last item is 8F. This is a fiber
 8
     optics project locally. It's a local project here in the city
 9
     of Maricopa. The low bid on the project was $610,464. The
10
     State's estimate was 499,000, for 50 -- it was over the State's
11
     estimates by $111,014, or 22.2 percent. In talking to the City
12
     of Maricopa, they would request that we reject all bids. They
13
     would like to repackage the project and advertise at a later
14
     time.
15
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Board Member Stratton.
16
                    MR. STRATTON: For Mayor Price, we -- I will move
17
     to reject all the bids.
18
                    MR. KNIGHT: Second.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a motion by
20
     Board Member Stratton, a second by Board Member Knight. Any
21
     discussion?
22
                    All in favor say aye.
23
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
25
     carries.
```

```
1
                    Thank you, Dallas.
 2
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Moving on to Agenda
     Item 9. Any suggestions for the Board?
 4
 5
                    Seeing none, is there a motion to adjourn the
 6
     meeting.
 7
                    MR. ELTERS: So moved.
 8
                    MR. THOMPSON: So moved. Second, third,
 9
     whatever.
10
                    MR. STRATTON: Question.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member Elters,
12
     second by Board Member Thompson. All in favor say aye.
13
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? The meeting's
15
     adjourned.
16
                    (Meeting adjourned.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn the September 20, 2019 State Transportation Board meeting was made by Board Member Elters and seconded by Board Member Thompson. In a voice vote, the motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 10:26 p.m. MST.

Jack Sellers, Chairman State Transportation Board

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., ADOT Executive Officer Arizona Department of Transportation